# STATE OF ILLINOIS DWIGHT H. GREEN, Governor



# TEMPERATURE AND TURBIDITY OF SOME RIVER WATERS IN ILLINOIS

By MAX SUTER

Issued by

DEPARTMENT OF REGISTRATION AND EDUCATION FRANK G. THOMPSON, Director STATE WATER SURVEY DIVISION A. M. BUSWELL, Chief

> URBANA, ILLINOIS 1948

[Printed by authority of the State of Illinois]

## STATE OF ILLINOIS

DWIGHT H. GREEN, Governor

TEMPERATURE AND TURBIDITY OF SOME RIVER WATERS IN ILLINOIS

by

MAX SUTER

Iesued by

DEPARTMENT OF REGISTRATION AND EDUCATION

FRANK G. THOMPSON, Director

STATE WATER SURVEY DIVISION

A. M. BUSWELL, Chief

URBANA, ILLINOIS

### TEMPERATURE AMD TURBIDITY OF SOME RIVER WATERS IN ILLINOIS

Max Suter <sup>1</sup>

Illinois vater supplies come from two sources: ground waters from veils; and surface waters from streams and lakes. The choice between these two sources is made principally on the basis of availability and economy. Many factors, however, enter into such considerations. The State Water Survey is mainly interested in the hydrologic phases of the problems involved in selecting a water supply. These may be classified in two groups: 1) The quantity of water available; 2) the quality of water available.

#### Considerations governing quantity:

The availability of ground water supplies is limited in many cases by the factor of safe yield, that is, by the extent to which the well can be pumped continuously. If this yield is exceeded, a lowering in the non-pumping water levels occurs. This recession increases with time, and although it does not take place at a constant rate, and from time to time there may even be a temporary rise in the non-pumping level, the general trend is downward. Wherever such a recession is found to exist, steps should be taken to eliminate the overdraft on the well field, either by increasing infiltration or by using some surface water in place of ground water, or by both methods.

## Considerations governing quality

In applying these methods, the quality of the surface waters available is important. Chemical and sanitary qualities, although of great importance,

<sup>1</sup> Engineer, Illinois State Water Survey

are not discussed here. This paper is limited to a study of two physical qualities, temperature and turbidity, of some of the principal streams in Illinois which are Important from the standpoint of surface water supply.

Ground vater is generally preferred for cooling purposes in Industrial processes because of its lower temperature and greater freedom from sediment. Therefore, wherever surface waters are used for recharge by means of infiltration or for the replacement of ground water, the properties of temperature and turbidity are of prime importance.

#### Source of Data

Data on the Ohio, Illinois and the Mississippi Fivers have been obtained at the following places with the assistance of the persons named:

Moline: Mr. A. E. Anderson, Superintendent of the Moline Water Works, for the period 1935-45 inclusive.

Quincy: Mr. W. R. Gelston, Superintendent, Water Works Commission, for the period 1929-45 inclusive.

Alton: Mr. F. H. King, Manager, Alton Water Company, for the period 1936-45 Inclusive.

East St. Louis: Mr. C. M. Boos, Manager, East St. Louis and Interurban Water Company, for the period 1937-1945 inclusive.

Cairo: Mr. E. L. Hileman, Manager, Cairo Water Co., for the period 1936-1945 inclusive.

Peoria: Office of the Sanitary District of Chicago, for the period 1935-45 inclusive.

Data on the Missouri River for the period 1935-45 inclusive have been received from Mr. Charles Elbreder of the St. Louis County Water Company. Although these data come from outside the State of Illinois, they are

-2-

Included in Table 1 and 2 because they give an indication of the reason for the changes which take place between Alton and East St. Louis, between which points the Missouri enters the Mississippi. The Missouri has a watershed of 520,000 square miles, as compared with the Mississippi's 180,000 square miles at the Junction of the two rivers.

#### Treatment of Data

The data used are records of daily measurements of temperatures and turbidity, made by the respective waterworks operators on the raw water pumped from the river, in conformity with Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Sewage, published by the American Public Health Association. These data were plotted to ascertain the duration in days per year of each unit measured. The duration of each of these periods, determined for each calendar year, was averaged and the upper and lower limits noted.

Table I summarizes the temperature data determined in this manner, and Table 2, the turbidity data. Plate 1 shows these data graphically.

It should be noted that although the maximum and minimum data given are the limits found for each station, these do not necessarily occur in the same year. The curves for maximum and minimum are, therefore, not actual occurrence curves, but envelope curves of the limiting cases within the period measured.

In order to obtain fairly representative data, daily observations should cover a period of at least 10 years, and it is fortunate that this great body of material was available for this study.

The data on temperature and turbidity indicate the periods during which each of these factors is present at each point of observation. In practical utilization of river water it is often important to know the periods when certain conditions of temperature and turbidity exist simultaneously. This information has been obtained by superimposing the

-3-

curves for temperature and turbidity in such a way as to secure the desired combination, then counting the number of days when both conditions occurred simultaneously. This was done, however, only for temperatures within a range of 55°F. to 70°F. and for turbidities up to 200 ppm. These ranges were chosen because they were believed to represent the upper limits of usability of the water. Table 3 and Plates 2 and 3 show the data obtained.

#### Trend along River

The data presented so far are for the individual stations from which records were available. It was, however, possible to determine the trend along the Mississippi River from Moline to East St. Louis. This trend is indicated on Plate 4 by curves in which the averages of the periods for which certain temperatures or turbidities exist are plotted as ordinates and the river distances as determined by the U.S. Engineers, as abscissas. The graphs show the entire length of the Mississippi bordering the State of Illinois, but the data curves cover only that part of the river for which records are available. Columns are added to these curves to show, for comparative purposes, the corresponding data for the Illinois River at Peoria and the Ohio River at Cairo.

These graphs show that the temperature of the Mississippi River water rises as the river flows south, which is to be expected. The slope of the curve, however, indicates that there is a progressive decrease in the period of duration of a given temperature amounting to about 8 days for each 100 miles of river length. The average temperature of Mississippi River water increases about 1 1/2°F. over the same distance. Sharp changes in the temperature rates are caused by the inflow of the Missouri River. It is also interesting to note that the temperature of the Illinois River at Peoria corresponds to the temperature of the Missippi River above Moline

-4-

in summer and below Quincy In winter. The Ohio River at Cairo is colder in summer and warmer in winter than the Mississippi River at East St. Louis.

The turbidity of the Mississippi River increases as the river flows south. This increase is especially marked in the periods of low turbidity, which are considerably reduced in length. The Missouri River Increases the turbidity of the Mississippi, especially during periods of high turbidity. It must be noted, however, that the intake of the East St. Louis plant is on the Illinois side only two miles below the mouth of the Missouri River, and therefore, especially during the relatively clear low-flow periods, the two waters do not mix completely and the clearer water of the Mississippi predominates at the intake. Periods of low turbidity are shorter in the Ohio River at Cairo than they are in the Mississippi at East St. Louis, and the periods of high turbidity are slightly longer. This indicates that higher turbidities exist in the Ohio River than in the Mississippi. This is in contradiction to reports made by aviators, who state that the Ohio River side below the junction of the two rivers looks blue for a long distance as compared with the yellow Mississippi water. The explanation for this can be found in the color of the materials causing the turbidity, which give the Mississippi a brownish-yellow color as compared with the gray of the Ohio.

The lowest turbidity reported from any station in this study was in the Illinois River at Peoria; this undoubtedly is due to sedimentation in the lakes above Peoria.

The variation in the length of the periods in which certain limiting ranges of temperature and turbidity occur simultaneously shows that if the two properties of coldness and clearness are desired, the conditions at Molina would be similar to or slightly better than those at Peoria, and conditions at Quincy would be slightly less favorable than those at Peoria.

-5-

The unfavorable conditions that exist in the Ohio River at Cairo have not been anticipated.

#### General Remarks

The present study is far from complete, as can be seen from the diagrams. However, it presents a new method of approach and makes use of all the longterm data that could be obtained in Illinois. Very few waterworks keep daily records; the majority make only occasional readings, which are not suitable for such a study. The cities of Chester and Thebes have been making good measurements for the last two years, but these will have to be continued over a considerably longer period before they are of real value.

There are some water works outside the State of Illinois which may have the information necessary for our study, but this la not readily available to our State Water Survey. It may be noted that there are no water works between Moline, Illinois, and Minneapolis, Minnesota, which use Mississippi River water.

#### Summary

Data are presented on the temperature and turbidity of river water at six stations along the Illinois, Ohio and Mississippi Rivers. These data are analyzed to show the periods year by year in which certain limiting conditions exist, both as to temperature and turbidity respectively, and also to determine the correlation of these phenomena within certain limited ranges. The trend in temperature and turbidity variations along the Mississippi River is indicated, and comparative data are offered for all stations.

-6-

	Days river temperatu re is °F. and 'below.											
	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90
Cairo	Max. 65 Min. 0 Ave. 32.5	111 9 76.0	135 50 106.2	157 107 137.0	194 120 161.7	227 164 194.0	243 191 217.9	286 207 245.6	308 243 273.5	364 272 322.8	365 316 359.0	366 365 365.0
E.St. Louis	Max. 24 Min. 0 Ave. 4.3	99 55 81.6	136 98 119.8	157 114 133.3	173 134 . 157.3	209 172 185.0	234 204 216.3	263 231 242.8	285 243 261.1	338 292 306.7	366 337 355.4	366 365 365.2
Alton	Max. 85 Min. 36 Ave. 65.5	123 91 104.0	137 110 126.9	169 120 146.7	184 140 167.9	223 176 193.0	242 203 221.9	272 231 246.8	286 235 267.4	346 279 313.7	366 335 357.5	366 360 364.7
Quincy	Max. 95 Min. 23 Ave. 60.2	129 82 112.6	155 115 136.6	175 135 161.1	189 158 177.8	233 183 203.3	260 209 232.3	283 237 258.1	304 251 280.3	353 302 328.7	366 348 362.8	366 365 365.2
Mollne	Max.128 Min. 90 Ave.108.9	147 104 126.5	165 131 149.9	184 150 168.5	207 172 189.8	234 195 214.6	262 221 240.8	283 240 263.1	314 276 295.7	357 321 340.1	365 356 362.6	365 362 364.7
Peoria	Max. 91 Mln. 28 Ave. 68.8	132 94 110.8	148 112 132.9	128 157.4	195 168 182.3	236 181 209.8	261 207 236.1	283 246 264.2	321 280 298.5	360 335 344.5	366 360 364.2	
Missouri River, St.Louis Co.	Max. 64 Min. 10 Ave. 34.2,	108 44 87.0	139 94 118.4	157 119 141.6	183 142 164.7	218 169 192.0	242 196 217.5	275 228 247.3	288 253 269.1	341 304 323.2	366 354 360.6	366 365 365.3

Table	1
-------	---

				-							
	25	50	75	100	200	300	400	500	600	700	
Cairo	Max. 29 Min. 0 Ave. 5.0	89 0 17.4	129 0 51.2	186 4 104.5	301 142 210.1	332 193 255.5	345 241 289.1	357 286 312.4	365 308 326.1	366 317 334.9	1936-1945 incl.
E.St.Louis	Max. 43 Min. 0 Ave. 21.4	94 7 51.1	209 32 92.3	252 90 131.4	320 148 202.2	340 199 253.3	348 233 289.7	352 256 309.0	356 275 322.2	359 289 331.7	1937-1945 incl.
Alton	Max. 45 Min. 0 Ave. 21.2	169 2 60.2	223 22 97.6	258 76 141.5	348 153 248.9	355 207 296.3	359 258 320.3	360 296 335.8	362 309 344.1	366 315 348.6	1936-1945 incl.
Quincy	Max. 92 Min. 0 Ave. 27.4	250 51 120.2	278 64 161.0	283 87 197.4	336 179 264.4	352 245 299.7	356 293 322.1	361 314 336.9	364 329 345.8	366 331 350.9	1929-1945 incl.
Moline	Max. 141 Min. 44 Ave. 83.5	194 78 136.3	239 115 179.1	291 171 225.5	354 277 313.0	359 309 342.5	363 323 352.1	365 335 357.4	365 342 360.0	365 345 361.5	1935-1945 incl.
Peoria	Max. 191 Min. 102 Ave. 151.6	305 212 242.2	332 241 276.8	343 270 299.1	356 327 346.2	365 353 358.7	366 357 363.0	366 359 364.3	366 363 365.0	366 363 365.0	1935-1944 incl.
Missouri River, St.Louis Co.	Max. 13 Min. 0 Ave. 2.6	36 0- 7.3	39 0 10.0	42 0 14.1	63 1 27.5	72 9 42.6	109 24 59.2	143 42 80.2	157 59 99.1	168 66 117.0	1935-1945 incl.

Days Turbidity'	is	ppm.	and k	pelow.

Table 2

			Temp. <	55°F.				Tamp.	≤ <u>60°</u> F.		
Turb.	<u>&lt;</u>	25	25 50		100	200	25	50	75	100	200
	Max.	12	15	42	67	122	14	22	42	75	137
Cairo	Min.	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2
	Ave.	2.0	2.7	9.1	27.9	62.4	2.2	3.5	10.0	32.3	73.0
	Max.	43	76	127	133	147	43	78	133	139	161
E.St.Louie	Min.	0	7	25	47	78	0	7	28	68	89
	Ave.	20.6	43.6	68.1	82.8	108.0	20.6	46.3	75.8	94.8	124.4
	Max.	45	100	123	134	158	45	106	129	142	172
Alton	Min.	0	2	22	48	77	0	2	22	49	85
	Ave.	19.7	47.6	70.0	89.4	123.8	19.7	48.2	72.2	96.5	139.6
	Max.	66	132	145	149	171	66	147	155	166	195
Ouincv	Min.	0	50	59	84	106	0	50	60	84	127
	Ave.	24.7	81.1	98.6	115.5	142.5	25.0	87.8	108.3	128.1	161.5
	Max.	104	149	164	176	200	104	150	177	195	227
Moline	Min.	36	78	101	115	145	38	78	106	130	171
	Ave.	75.5	113.4	133.7	148.9	173.4	75.8	117.7	142.5	164.4	195.4
	Max.	96	132	149	168	182	108	145	164	180	223
Peoria	Min.	39	70	84	107	145	43	85	104	123	169
	Ave.	58.8	97.1	111.1	128.8	156.1	67.8	110.5	128.3	148.7	192.2

Table 3

			Tenro.	< 65°F.				Temp. < _70°F.				
T1	urb.<	25	50	75	100	200	.25	50	75	100	200	
	Max.	15	22	51	93	167	25	49	64	114	194	
Cairo	Min.	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	15	
	Ave.	3.2	6.2	15.0	42.5	87.9	4.2	9.3	22.2	54.6	109.8	
	Max.	43	78	156	169	192	43	78	174	201	231	
E.St. Louie	Min.	0	7	30	77	97	0	7	30	82	123	
	Ave.	20.6	47.2	80.8	103.0	137.0	20.6	47.7	84.2	111.9	155.4	
	Max.	45	125	148	159	198	45	138	167	181	239	
Alton	Min.	0	2	22	49	98	0	2	22	49	99	
	Ave.	19.7	50.1	76.1	101.8	152.1	19.7	51.4	79.3	107.2	167.0	
	Max.	66	165	185	202	233	66	181	204	229	256	
Quincy	Min.	0	50	64	84	137	0	50	64	84	148	
	Ave.	25.0	93.3	119.9	144.4	181.9	25.4	98.8	127.2	153.9	197.4	
	Max.	104	169	184	217	248	104	185	200	225	255	
Molina	Min.	38	78	113	133	201	38	78	113	135	208	
	Ave.	75.8	121.6	150.6	178.5	218.7	75.8	124.4	155.5	184.6	235.2	
	Max.	129	176	187	203	238	135	197	222	240	266	
Peoria	Min.	55	103	127	150	205	63	112	139	153	217	
	Ave.	82.2	133.9	154.5	174.8	218.7	93.2	152.0	175.2	196.9	242.6	

# Table 3 (continued)

NOTE: Calculations for Missouri River not presented as turbidities were only during 2 to 3 years within range considered.



ILLINOIS STATE WATER SURVEY DIVISION



TURBIDITY IN PARTS PER MILLION OR LESS

ILLINOIS STATE WATER SURVEY DIVISION



DAYS RIVER WATER IS AT CONDITIONS INDICATED WITH TURBIDITY LIMIT CONSTANT

ILLINOIS STATE WATER SURVEY DIVISION

PLATE 3.

PLATE 4.

MISSISSIPPI RIVER



TEMPERATURE IN 'F TURBIDITY IN PARTS PER MILLION

ILLINOIS STATE WATER SURVEY DIVISION